

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/673,765	Applicant(s) KNAPPMILLER, LEONARD V.	
	Examiner George L. Walton	Art Unit 3753	

All Participants:

Status of Application: 1st Action Non-Final

(1) Mr. Kevin Ellicott - attorney.

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. George L. Walton - examiner.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 September 2004

Time: 3:20 PM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

All

Claims discussed:

1-2 and 5

Prior art documents discussed:

Herberger, Chadbourne, Rausch, Miskiewicz and Laugen

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In view of the above prior art, changes were proposed and made to claims 1 and 5 to more clearly define the claimed invention and prevail over the above prior art. Such changes properly place this application in condition for allowance. Details of the changes can be seen in the accompanying "Examiner's Amendment".